

Inverse problem for the discrete 1D Schrödinger operator with small periodic potentials

Evgeny Korotyaev^{*} and Anton Kutsenko[†]

February 2, 2008

Abstract

Consider the discrete 1D Schrödinger operator on $\mathbb{Z}$ with an odd $2k$ periodic potential q . For small potentials we show that the mapping: $q \rightarrow$ heights of vertical slits on the quasi-momentum domain (similar to the Marchenko-Ostrovski mapping for the Hill operator) is a local isomorphism and the isospectral set consists of 2^k distinct potentials. Finally, the asymptotics of the spectrum are determined as $q \rightarrow 0$.

1 Introduction and main results

We consider the Schrödinger operator $(Ly)_n = y_{n-1} + y_{n+1} + q_n y_n$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, acting on $l^2(\mathbb{Z})$, where $\{q_n\}_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ is a real $N+1$ periodic sequence, $q_{n+N+1} = q_n$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and

$$q \equiv \{q_n\}_1^{N+1} \in \mathcal{Q} \equiv \left\{ q \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1} : \sum_1^{N+1} q_n = 0 \right\}, \quad \|q\|^2 = (q, q) = \sum_{n=1}^{N+1} q_n^2. \quad (1.1)$$

It is well known that the spectrum of L is absolutely continuous and consists of $N+1$ intervals $\sigma_n = \sigma_n(q) = [\lambda_n^+, \lambda_{n+1}^-]$, $n = 0, 1, \dots, N$, where $\lambda_n^\pm = \lambda_n^\pm(q)$ and $\lambda_0^+ < \lambda_1^- \leq \lambda_1^+ < \dots < \lambda_N^- \leq \lambda_N^+ < \lambda_{N+1}^-$. These intervals are separated by gaps $\gamma_n = \gamma_n(q) = (\lambda_n^-, \lambda_n^+)$ of lengths $|\gamma_n| \geq 0$. If a gap γ_n is degenerate, i.e. $|\gamma_n| = 0$, then the corresponding segments merge. Introduce fundamental solutions $\varphi_n(\lambda, q)$ and $\vartheta_n(\lambda, q)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ of the equation

$$y_{n-1} + y_{n+1} + q_n y_n = \lambda y_n, \quad (\lambda, n) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{Z}, \quad (1.2)$$

with initial conditions $\varphi_0(\lambda, q) \equiv \vartheta_1(\lambda, q) \equiv 0$, $\varphi_1(\lambda, q) \equiv \vartheta_0(\lambda, q) \equiv 1$. The function $\Delta(\lambda, q) = \varphi_{N+2}(\lambda, q) + \vartheta_{N+1}(\lambda, q)$ is called the Lyapunov function for the operator L . The functions Δ , φ_n and ϑ_n are polynomials of $(\lambda, q) \in \mathbb{C}^{N+2}$. The spectrum of L is given by

^{*}Institut für Mathematik, Humboldt Universität zu Berlin, Rudower Chaussee 25, 12489, Berlin, Germany, e-mail: evgeny@math.hu-berlin.de To whom correspondence should be addressed

[†]Faculty of Math. and Mech. St-Petersburg State University, e-mail: kucenko@rambler.ru

$\sigma(q) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} : |\Delta(\lambda, q)| \leq 2\}$ (see e.g. [Te]). Note that $(-1)^{N+1-n}\Delta(\lambda_n^\pm, q) = 2, n = 0, \dots, N+1$. For each $n = 1, \dots, N$ there exists a unique $\lambda_n = \lambda_n(q) \in [\lambda_n^-, \lambda_n^+]$ such that

$$\Delta'(\lambda_n, q) = 0, \quad \Delta''(\lambda_n, q) \neq 0, \quad (-1)^{N+1-n}\Delta(\lambda_n, q) \geq 2. \quad (1.3)$$

Here and below we use the notation $(\cdot)' = \partial/\partial\lambda$, $\partial_n = \partial/\partial q_n$. Define $h_n, n = 1, \dots, N$ by the equation

$$\Delta(\lambda_n, q) = 2(-1)^{N+1-n} \cosh h_n, \quad h_n \geq 0. \quad (1.4)$$

The inverse spectral problem consists of the following parts:

- i) Uniqueness. Prove that the spectral data uniquely determine the potential.
- ii) Characterization. Give conditions for some data to be the spectral data of some potential.
- iii) Reconstruction. Give an algorithm for recovering the potential from the spectral data.
- iv) A priori estimates (stability). Obtain estimates of the potential in terms of the spectral data.

There is an enormous literature on the scalar Hill operator including the inverse spectral theory. We mention the papers where the inverse problem (including a characterization) was solved. In the following papers [MO1-2], [GT], [KK], [K1-2] the authors show that the mapping: $\{\text{potential}\} \rightarrow \{\text{spectral data}\}$ is a homeomorphism. In particular this gives Uniqueness and Characterization. In the recent paper [K3] one of the authors solved the inverse problem (including a characterization) for the case $-y'' + v'y$, where $v \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$ (i.e., v' is a distribution) and $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. There are many papers about the inverse problem for periodic Jacobi matrices (see ref. in [Te]). But the corresponding extension to the case of periodic Jacobi matrices was made only in [BGGK], [KKu1], [K4]. Remark that the paper [vM] did not discuss the characterization of spectral data for the periodic Jacobi matrices. However, in spite of the importance of generalizing these studies in the continuous case to the discrete 1D Schrödinger operator, until recently no essential result (apart from the information given for the periodic Jacobi matrices) has been proved. Note that for the 2D Schrödinger operator there is a book [GKT] about the inverse spectral problem.

The analysis of the discrete Schrödinger operator poses interesting new problems: 1) to construct the mapping $q \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ (the spectral data) and solve the corresponding inverse problem (we need a good choice of the spectral data $\mathbf{S}$, a priori we have a lot of candidates), 2) to study the quasimomentum (the real part of the quasimomentum is the integrated density of states) as a conformal mapping, 3) to obtain a priori estimates of potentials in terms of spectral data, 4) recovering the potential using the spectral data.

The most complicated problem is Characterization, but even the problem of uniqueness still is not solved, since we do not know the "good" spectral data. Concerning Characterization, this paper gives a partial result for q small (in the ball around the origin outside some cones of small volume). In fact this is the motivation of our paper. Note that the case of large q was studied in [KKu2], see Theorem 1.3.

Introduce the space of odd potentials $\mathcal{Q}^{odd}$ by

$$\mathcal{Q}^{odd} = \{q \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1} : q_{N+2-n} = -q_n, n = 1, \dots, N\}, \quad N+1 = 2k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (1.5)$$

Define the mapping $h : \mathcal{Q}^{odd} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ by $q \rightarrow h(q) = \{h_n(q)\}_1^k$, where h_n is given by (1.4). The mapping h is some analog of the Marchenko-Ostrovski mapping for the Hill operator [M],

[MO1],[MO2]. The parameters h_n have also a geometric sense as the height of the vertical slits of the quasimomentum domain (see e.g. [KKr]). For given $h(q)$ for some $q \in \mathcal{Q}^{odd}$, the Lyapunov function $\Delta(\lambda, q)$ is uniquely determined (see [Pe], [KKu1]). Note that in our case of odd potentials in order to determine $\Delta(\lambda, q)$ we only need heights h_n , $n = 1, \dots, k$, since due to (2.12) the function $\Delta(\cdot, q)$, $q \in \mathcal{Q}^{odd}$ is even. If $q, p \in \mathcal{Q}^{odd}$, then

$$\sigma(q) = \sigma(p) \Leftrightarrow \Delta(\cdot, q) = \Delta(\cdot, p) \Leftrightarrow h(q) = h(p). \quad (1.6)$$

This simple fact follows from the result for Jacobi matrices (see [Pe], [KKu1]). Introduce an orthonormal basis $\hat{e}_m$ and coordinates $\hat{q}_m$, $m = 1, \dots, k$ in the space $\mathcal{Q}^{odd}$ by

$$\hat{e}_m = \frac{2^{-\frac{\delta_{k,m}}{2}}}{\sqrt{k}} \left\{ \sin \frac{(2n-1)m\pi}{2k} \right\}_{n=1}^{N+1}, \quad (\hat{e}_m, \hat{e}_l) = \delta_{m,l}, \quad m, l = 1, \dots, k, \quad q = \sum_{m=1}^k \hat{q}_m \hat{e}_m, \quad (1.7)$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a standard scalar product in $\mathbb{C}^{N+1}$, $N+1 = 2k$ and $\delta_{k,m}$ is the Kroneker symbol. Recall that $\lambda_n^\pm(0) = -2 \cos \frac{\pi n}{N+1}$, $n = 1, \dots, N$. We formulate our first result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $q \in \mathcal{Q}^{odd}$ and $\|q\| \rightarrow 0$. Then for any $n = 1, \dots, N$ the following asymptotics are fulfilled:*

$$(\lambda_n^\pm(q) - \lambda_n^\pm(0))^2 = \frac{2^{\delta_{k,n}}}{4k} \hat{q}_n^2 + O(\|q\|^3), \quad (1.8)$$

$$h_n^2(q) = \frac{2^{\delta_{k,n}} k}{4 \sin^2 \frac{\pi n}{2k}} \hat{q}_n^2 + O(\|q\|^4). \quad (1.9)$$

Recall that the asymptotics for $q \rightarrow 0$ in the general case was determined in [vMou]. We determine the asymptotics for $q \rightarrow 0$ in the odd case, using a different proof.

Define the mapping $\Phi : \mathcal{Q}^{odd} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ by

$$\Phi(q) = \{\phi_{2n-1}(q)\}_1^k, \quad \Delta(\lambda, q) = \lambda^{N+1} + \phi_1(q)\lambda^{N-1} + \phi_3(q)\lambda^{N-3} + \dots + \phi_N(q). \quad (1.10)$$

For the case $q \in \mathcal{Q}^{odd}$ we denote by d_q the derivative with respect to $q_1, \dots, q_k$. Note that $S(q) = \det d_q \Phi(q)$ is a polynomial, where $d_q \Phi$ is the derivative of Φ with respect to q . Introduce the surface $\mathcal{S} = \{q \in \mathcal{Q}^{odd} : \det d_q \Phi(q) = 0\}$. Introduce the set of isospectral potentials $\text{Iso}(q) = \{p \in \mathcal{Q}^{odd} : \sigma(q) = \sigma(p)\}$. Let $\#A$ denote the number of elements of the set A . We formulate the main result of our paper.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $q \in \mathcal{Q}^{odd}$. Then*

- i) $\det d_q h \neq 0$ iff $q \notin \mathcal{S} = \{q \in \mathcal{Q}^{odd} : \det d_q \Phi(q) = 0\}$.
- ii) If $q \notin \mathcal{S}$, then $h_n(q) > 0$ for all $n = 1, \dots, k$, i.e., all gaps are open.
- iii) If $\hat{q}_n \neq 0$, for all $n = 1, \dots, k$, then $p \equiv sq \notin \mathcal{S}$ and $\#\text{Iso}(p) = 2^k$ for any $s \in (0, \tau)$ and some $\tau = \tau(q) > 0$.

It is important to compare the two cases $q \rightarrow 0$ and $\|q\| \rightarrow \infty$. We recall our result from [KKu2] for large q .

Theorem 1.3. *Let $q \in \mathcal{Q}^{odd}$ be such that $q_i \neq q_j$ for all $i \neq j$. Then $\#\text{Iso}(tq) = 2^k k!$ for all $t > t_0(q)$ and some $t_0(q) > 0$.*

By Theorem 1.2, 1.3, there exists a big difference between the two cases: small q and large q . Roughly speaking, we have $\#\text{Iso}(q) = 2^k$ for small q and $\#\text{Iso}(q) = 2^k k!$ for large q . Such a difference between large and small q is absent in the inverse spectral theory for the continuous case. We roughly describe the difference between the two cases: small and large $q \in \mathcal{Q}^{odd}$.

If $q \rightarrow 0$, then (2.15) gives the asymptotics

$$\Delta(\lambda, q) = \Delta_M^0(\lambda, q) + O(\|q\|^4), \quad \text{as } q \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{where } \Delta_M^0(\lambda, q) = \Delta(\lambda, 0) + (Af(q), \Lambda(\lambda)).$$

where $A, f(q), \Lambda$ are defined before Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 2.4. Here $\Delta_M^0(\lambda, q)$ is our model Lyapunov function for small $q \in \mathcal{Q}^{odd}$. If we change signs of the components $\hat{q}_n$ (see (1.7)), then $\Delta_M(\lambda, q)$ is not changed. Thus if we change signs of the components $\hat{q}_n$, then the change of $\Delta(\lambda, q)$ is small. Thus we get $\#\text{Iso}(q) = 2^k$.

Consider q large. The identity (2.3) yields the asymptotics

$$\Delta(\lambda, tq) = \Delta_M(\lambda, tq) + O(t^{N-1}), \quad t \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{where } \Delta_M(\lambda, q) = \prod_1^k (\lambda^2 - q_n^2).$$

Here $\Delta_M(\lambda, q)$ is our model Lyapunov function for large $q \in \mathcal{Q}^{odd}$. Permutations and changes of signs of the components q_n do not change $\Delta_M(\lambda, q)$. Thus if we change signs of the components q_n and rearrange the components $q_n, n = 1, \dots, k$, then the change of $\Delta(\lambda, q)$ is small. Thus we get $\#\text{Iso}(q) = 2^k k!$ different potentials $q \in \mathcal{Q}^{odd}$. These results suggest that a global characterization of the isospectral set is a very hard problem.

2 Analysis for small potentials

In this Sect. we prove Theorem 1.1. In order to study Δ we define the sets $D_j^n \subset \mathbb{N}^j$ of indeces by: $D_1^n = \bigcup_{j=1}^n \{j\}$ and

$$D_j^n = \left\{ \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^j : 1 \leq \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_j < n+1, \alpha_{s+1} - \alpha_s \text{ is odd}, s = 1, \dots, j-1 \right\}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $2 \leq j \leq n$. With each set D_j^n we associate a polynomial $G_j^n(\lambda, q), (\lambda, q) \in \mathbb{C}^{N+1}$ by

$$G_0^n = 2, \quad G_1^n(\lambda, q) = \sum_{i=1}^n (\lambda - q_i), \quad G_j^n(\lambda, q) = \sum_{\alpha \in D_j^n} Q_\alpha(\lambda, q), \quad 2 \leq j \leq n \leq N+1, \quad (2.2)$$

where $Q_\alpha(\lambda, q) = (\lambda - q_{\alpha_1}) \cdot \dots \cdot (\lambda - q_{\alpha_j}), \alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j) \in \mathbb{N}^j$. In Sect. 4 we will prove

Lemma 2.1. *For any $N \geq 1$ the following identities are fulfilled:*

$$\Delta = \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{N+1}{2}} (-1)^{j+\frac{N+1}{2}} G_{2j}^{N+1}, \quad (N \text{ odd}) \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta = \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{N}{2}} (-1)^{j+\frac{N}{2}} G_{2j+1}^{N+1}, \quad (N \text{ even}). \quad (2.3)$$

Define two $(N+1) \times (N+1)$ matrices M_ν , M_τ by

$$M_\nu q = (q_2, \dots, q_{N+1}, q_1)^T, \quad M_\tau q = (q_{N+1}, q_N, \dots, q_1)^T, \quad q = (q_1, \dots, q_{N+1})^T \in \mathbb{C}^{N+1}. \quad (2.4)$$

Introduce the space of homogeneous polynomials $\mathcal{P}_2$ by

$$\mathcal{P}_2 = \{f : \mathbb{R}^{N+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \text{degree } f = 2, f(M_\nu \cdot) \equiv f(\cdot)\}, \quad N+1 = 2k. \quad (2.5)$$

Introduce f_0 and the vector function f by

$$f_0(q) = (q, q), \quad f(q) = \{f_s(q)\}_{s=1}^k, \quad f_s(q) = (q, M_\nu^s q), \quad q \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}, \quad N+1 = 2k. \quad (2.6)$$

Let $\varphi_m^0(\lambda) \equiv \varphi_m(\lambda, 0)$, $m \geq 0$. Define polynomials ψ_m^k , $1 \leq m \leq k$, and the $k \times k$ matrix $A = \{A_{n,m}\}_{n,m=1}^k$ by

$$2^{-\delta_{k,m}} \psi_m^k(\lambda) = \varphi_m^0(\lambda) \varphi_{N+1-m}^0(\lambda) = A_{1,m} \lambda^{2k-2} + A_{2,m} \lambda^{2k-4} + \dots + A_{k,m}. \quad (2.7)$$

In order to show Theorem 2.4 we need the following two lemmas, proved in Sect. 4.

Lemma 2.2. *The following identities are fulfilled*

$$\Delta(\lambda, 0) = \cos(2k \arccos \frac{\lambda}{2}), \quad \lambda \in [-2, 2], \quad \lambda_n^0 = \lambda_n(0) = \lambda_n^\pm(0) = -2 \cos \frac{\pi n}{2k}, \quad n = 1, \dots, N, \quad (2.8)$$

$$\Delta(\lambda, 0) = \sum_{m=0}^k (-1)^{m+k} R_{2m}^{2k} \lambda^{2m}, \quad R_{2m}^{2k} = \frac{2k}{k+m} C_{2m}^{k+m}, \quad C_m^n = \frac{n!}{(n-m)!m!}. \quad (2.9)$$

Lemma 2.3. *i) The polynomials $f_s(q) = (q, M_\nu^s q)$, $s = 0, \dots, k$ form a basis of the space $\mathcal{P}_2$.
ii) For any $(\lambda, q) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{Q}^{\text{odd}}$ and $1 \leq m \leq k$ the following identities are fulfilled*

$$G_{2m}^{2k}(\lambda, q) = R_{2m}^{2k} \lambda^{2m} + \sum_{n=1}^m g_{k+1-m, 2n}(q) \lambda^{2m-2n}, \quad (2.10)$$

$$g_m(q) \equiv g_{m,2}(q) = (-1)^{m-1} (A_{m,1} f_1(q) + \dots + A_{m,k} f_k(q)), \quad (2.11)$$

for some homogeneous polynomials $g_{m,s}(q)$ with degree s , and $A_{n,m}$ is given by (2.7).

Using (4.14) we obtain

$$\Delta(\lambda, q) = \Delta(-\lambda, q) = \Delta(\lambda, -q) = \Delta(\lambda, M_\tau q), \quad (\lambda, q) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{Q}^{\text{odd}}. \quad (2.12)$$

Then for any $q \in \mathcal{Q}^{\text{odd}}$ the even polynomial $\Delta(\cdot, q)$ has form (1.10). Introduce the vectors

$$\Phi^0 = \Phi(0) = (-R_{2k-2}^{2k}, R_{2k-4}^{2k}, \dots, (-1)^k R_0^{2k})^T, \quad \Lambda(\lambda) = (\lambda^{2k-2}, \lambda^{2k-4}, \dots, 1)^T, \quad (2.13)$$

where Φ is defined by (1.10).

Theorem 2.4. For any $(\lambda, q) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{Q}^{odd}$ the functions Φ and Δ have the form

$$\Phi(q) = \Phi^0 + Af(q) + \Phi^2(q), \quad (2.14)$$

$$\Delta(\lambda, q) = \Delta(\lambda, 0) + (Af(q), \Lambda(\lambda)) + (\Phi^2(q), \Lambda(\lambda)), \quad (2.15)$$

where the constant $k \times k$ matrix A and the vector function $\Phi^2(q)$ satisfy

$$\det A = 1, \quad \|\Phi^2(q)\| \leq K_N \|q\|^4, \quad |(\Phi^2(q), \Lambda(\lambda))| \leq NK_N(1 + |\lambda|^N) \|q\|^4 \quad (2.16)$$

for some absolute constant K_N .

Proof. Substituting (2.10), (2.11) into (2.3) and using (2.7) we obtain (2.14). Moreover, by Lemma 2.3, the polynomials $g_{m,2n}$ have degree ≥ 4 , thus we have $\|\Phi^2(q)\| \leq K_N \|q\|^4$. We will show that $\det A = 1$. Recall that $\varphi_n^0 = \varphi_n(\lambda, 0)$ and the polynomials ψ_m^k are given by

$$\psi_m^k = \varphi_m^0 \varphi_{N+1-m}^0, \quad 1 \leq m \leq k, \quad N+1 = 2k. \quad (2.17)$$

Then, using (4.16), for any $1 \leq m < k$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{m+1}^k - \psi_m^k &= \varphi_{m+1}^0 \varphi_{N-m}^0 - \varphi_m^0 \varphi_{N+1-m}^0 = (\lambda \varphi_m^0 - \varphi_{m-1}^0) \varphi_{N-m}^0 - \varphi_m^0 (\lambda \varphi_{N-m}^0 - \varphi_{N-m-1}^0) \\ &= \varphi_m^0 \varphi_{N-1-m}^0 - \varphi_{m-1}^0 \varphi_{N-1-(m-1)}^0 = \psi_m^{k-1} - \psi_{m-1}^{k-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

which yields

$$\psi_{m+1}^k - \psi_m^k = \psi_2^{k-m+1} - \psi_1^{k-m+1} = \varphi_2^0 \varphi_{2(k-m)}^0 - \varphi_1^0 \varphi_{2(k-m)+1}^0 = \varphi_{2(k-m)-1}^0. \quad (2.19)$$

Define the matrix B by

$$B = \{B_{n,m}\}_{n,m=1}^k, \quad B_{n,1} = A_{n,1}, \quad B_{n,m} = A_{n,m} - A_{n,m-1}, \quad 2 \leq m \leq k, \quad 1 \leq n \leq k. \quad (2.20)$$

This matrix satisfies $\det B = \det A$. We will show that B is triangular and $B_{m,m} = 1$, $m = 1, \dots, k$. Using (2.7) and (2.19) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{m+1}^k - \psi_m^k &= \sum_{n=1}^k (A_{n,m+1} - A_{n,m}) \lambda^{2(k-n)} = \sum_{n=1}^k B_{n,m+1} \lambda^{2(k-n)} \\ &= \varphi_{2(k-m)-1}^0(\lambda) = \lambda^{2(k-m-1)} + O(\lambda^{2(k-m-2)}), \quad 1 \leq m \leq k-1, \end{aligned}$$

which yields $B_{m+1,m+1} = 1$, $B_{n,m+1} = 0$, $1 \leq n < m+1$, $1 \leq m \leq k-1$. Then $\det B = 1 = \det A$. Using (2.13), (2.9), (2.14), we have

$$\Delta(\lambda, q) = \lambda^{N+1} + (\Phi(q), \Lambda(\lambda)) = \lambda^{N+1} + (\Phi^0, \Lambda(\lambda)) + (Af(q), \Lambda(\lambda)) + (\Phi^2(q), \Lambda(\lambda)),$$

$$\Delta(\lambda, 0) = (\Phi^0, \Lambda(\lambda)), \quad |(\Phi^2(q), \Lambda(\lambda))| \leq |(\Phi^2(q), \Lambda(\lambda))| \leq \|\Phi^2(q)\| N(1 + |\lambda|^N),$$

which yields (2.15). ■

Below we need

Lemma 2.5. Let a matrix $W = \{W_{n,m}\}_{n,m=1}^k = \left\{ \cos \frac{\pi nm}{k} \right\}_{n,m=1}^k$. The following identity

$$\frac{-2\Lambda(\lambda_n^0)^T}{\Delta''(\lambda_n^0, 0)} AW = \frac{2^{\delta_{k,n}}}{4k} e_n \quad (2.21)$$

holds, where $e_n = \{\delta_{n,m}\}_{m=1}^k$, $n = 1, \dots, k$.

Proof. Let $\xi_n = \frac{(-1)^n}{\sin^2 \frac{\pi n}{2k}}$ and $\psi_n = \psi_n^k$. For the case $q = 0$ we have

$$\Delta(\lambda, 0) = 2 \cos 2kz, \quad z = \arccos \frac{\lambda}{2}, \quad \lambda \in [-2, 2]; \quad \Delta''(\lambda_n^0, 0) = -2k^2 \xi_n, \quad (2.22)$$

$$\varphi_n^0 = \frac{\sin nz}{\sin z}; \quad \psi_m = \frac{\cos(2k - 2m)z - \cos 2kz}{2 \sin^2 z}, \quad \psi_m(\lambda_n^0) = \frac{\xi_n}{2} \left(\cos \frac{\pi nm}{k} - 1 \right). \quad (2.23)$$

Using (2.23) and $\Lambda(\lambda_n^0)^T A = \{2^{-\delta_{k,j}} \psi_j(\lambda_n^0)\}_{j=1}^k$ (see (2.7)) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\Lambda(\lambda_n^0)^T AW)_m &= \frac{1}{2} \psi_k(\lambda_n^0) \cos \frac{\pi km}{k} + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \psi_j(\lambda_n^0) \cos \frac{\pi jm}{k} \\ &= -\frac{\xi_n}{2} \left(\frac{(\cos \pi n - 1) \cos \pi m}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \cos \frac{\pi jn}{k} \cos \frac{\pi jm}{k} - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \cos \frac{\pi jm}{k} \right) = \delta_{n,m} 2^{\delta_{k,n}} \frac{k}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, the last identity and (2.22) yield (2.21). ■

In order to show Theorem 1.1 we need

Lemma 2.6. i) For any $q \in \mathcal{Q}^{odd}$ the function $f = \{f_s\}_{s=1}^k$, $f_s(q) = (q, M_\nu^s q)$ satisfies

$$f(q) = W(\hat{q}_1^2, \dots, \hat{q}_k^2)^T, \quad \text{where } W = \left\{ \cos \frac{\pi nm}{k} \right\}_{n,m=1}^k, \quad \det W \neq 0. \quad (2.24)$$

ii) Let $\Phi(q), q \in \mathcal{Q}^{odd}$ be given by (1.10), and let $\hat{q}_n \neq 0$ for all $n = 1, \dots, k$. Then $\Phi : \mathcal{Q}^{odd} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ is a local isomorphism at any point tq , $t \in (0, t_0)$ for some $t_0 = t_0(q) > 0$.

Proof. i) Recall that $N + 1 = 2k$. Introduce vectors $\tilde{e}_m \in \mathbb{C}^{N+1}$ by

$$\tilde{e}_m = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{k}} (1, s^m, \dots, s^{mN})^T, \quad m = 1, \dots, N, \quad s = e^{\frac{i\pi}{k}}. \quad (2.25)$$

Using $s^{N+1} = 1$ and (2.4), we have

$$M_\nu \tilde{e}_m = s^m \tilde{e}_m, \quad (\tilde{e}_l, \tilde{e}_m) = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{l,m}, \quad M_\tau \tilde{e}_m = s^{N+1-m} \tilde{e}_{N+1-m}, \quad 1 \leq l, m \leq N. \quad (2.26)$$

Also introduce vectors $\hat{e}_m \in \mathbb{C}^{N+1}$ by

$$\hat{e}_m = -2^{-\frac{\delta_{k,m}}{2}} (s^{\frac{k+m}{2}} \tilde{e}_m + s^{-\frac{k+m}{2}} \tilde{e}_{N+1-m}), \quad 1 \leq m \leq k, \quad s^{\frac{1}{2}} \equiv e^{\frac{i\pi}{2k}}. \quad (2.27)$$

We have $\hat{e}_m = (-2^{-\frac{\delta_{k,m}}{2}})2 \operatorname{Re} s^{\frac{k+m}{2}} \tilde{e}_m$, since $s^{N+1} = 1$. Then, (2.26) and $s^k = -1$ yield

$$-2^{\frac{\delta_{k,m}}{2}} M_\tau \hat{e}_m = s^{\frac{k+m}{2}} s^{N+1-m} \tilde{e}_{N+1-m} + s^{-\frac{k+m}{2}} s^m \tilde{e}_m = -s^{-\frac{k+m}{2}} \tilde{e}_{N+1-m} - s^{\frac{k+m}{2}} \tilde{e}_m = 2^{\frac{\delta_{k,m}}{2}} \hat{e}_m.$$

Relations $\hat{e}_m \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ and $M_\tau \hat{e}_m = -\hat{e}_m$ show that $\hat{e}_m \in \mathcal{Q}^{odd}$, $m = 1, \dots, k$. Also (2.26) yields $(\hat{e}_l, \hat{e}_m) = \delta_{l,m}$, $1 \leq l, m \leq k$. This and $\dim(\mathcal{Q}^{odd}) = k$ show that $\hat{e}_m$, $m = 1, \dots, k$ is an orthonormal basis in the space $\mathcal{Q}^{odd}$. Define the unitary operator $U : \mathcal{Q}^{odd} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}^{odd}$ by

$$U(\hat{e}_m) = e_{m-1} - e_{N+1-m}, \quad m = 1, \dots, k, \quad e_m = \{\delta_{m,n}\}_{n=0}^N. \quad (2.28)$$

The identities (2.26), (2.27) yield $(\hat{e}_j, M_\nu^n \hat{e}_m) = 0$, $j \neq m$, $1 \leq n, j, m \leq k$. Then we have

$$(q, M_\nu^n q) = \hat{q}_1^2 (\hat{e}_1, M_\nu^n \hat{e}_1) + \dots + \hat{q}_k^2 (\hat{e}_k, M_\nu^n \hat{e}_k), \quad q = \sum_{n=1}^k \hat{q}_n \hat{e}_n, \quad (2.29)$$

and using (2.26), (2.27), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\hat{e}_m, M_\nu^n \hat{e}_m) &= 2^{-\delta_{k,m}} (s^{\frac{k+m}{2}} \tilde{e}_m + s^{-\frac{k+m}{2}} \tilde{e}_{N+1-m}, s^{\frac{k+m}{2}} s^{nm} \tilde{e}_m + s^{-\frac{k+m}{2}} s^{n(N+1-m)} \tilde{e}_{N+1-m}) \\ &= \frac{s^{-nm} + s^{nm}}{2} = \cos \frac{nm\pi}{k}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.30)$$

Thus (2.29), (2.30) give $f(q) = W(\hat{q}_1^2, \dots, \hat{q}_k^2)^T$, and (2.21) implies $\det W \neq 0$.

ii) The identities (2.14), (2.16) and (2.24) yield

$$\Phi(q) = \Phi^0 + AW(\hat{q}_1^2, \dots, \hat{q}_k^2)^T + \Phi^2(q), \quad \text{where } \Phi^2(q) = O(\|q\|^4).$$

Then for fixed q we obtain

$$d_q \Phi|_{tq} = 2tAW \cdot \operatorname{diag}(\hat{q}_1, \dots, \hat{q}_k)U^T + O(t^3), \quad t \rightarrow 0, \quad (2.31)$$

where U is given by (2.28). Due to $\hat{q}_n \neq 0$, $n = 1, \dots, k$ and (2.21), the matrix $d_q \Phi|_{tq}$ has an inverse for sufficiently small t , since A , W and U are invertible. ■

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Recall that $(-1)^{N+1-n} \Delta(\lambda_n^\pm(q), q) = 2$ and $\Delta'(\lambda_n(q), q) = 0$, $\lambda_n^0 = \lambda_n(0) = \lambda_n^\pm(0)$, $n = 1, \dots, N$ (see (2.8)). Let $\lambda_n^\pm = \lambda_n^\pm(q)$, $\lambda_n = \lambda_n(q)$. Theorem 2.4 gives

$$\Delta(\lambda_n^0, 0) = (-1)^{N+1-n} 2 = \Delta(\lambda_n^\pm, q) = \Delta(\lambda_n^\pm, 0) + (Af(q), \Lambda(\lambda_n^\pm)) + O(\|q\|^4), \quad (2.32)$$

which yields

$$\Delta(\lambda_n^\pm, 0) - \Delta(\lambda_n^0, 0) = -(Af(q), \Lambda(\lambda_n^\pm)) + O(\|q\|^4). \quad (2.33)$$

Then, the Taylor formula implies

$$\frac{1}{2} \Delta''(\tilde{\lambda}_n^\pm, 0)(\lambda_n^\pm - \lambda_n^0)^2 = -(Af(q), \Lambda(\lambda_n^\pm)) + O(\|q\|^4), \quad \tilde{\lambda}_n^\pm \in (\lambda_n^\pm, \lambda_n^0). \quad (2.34)$$

Note that by (2.15), $\Delta(\lambda, q) \rightarrow \Delta(\lambda, 0)$ as $q \rightarrow 0$, uniformly on bounded set of $\mathbb{C}$ and $\lambda_n^\pm(q) \rightarrow \lambda_n^\pm(0) = \lambda_n^0$ as $q \rightarrow 0$. Thus due to the estimate $\|f(q)\| = O(\|q\|^2)$ we obtain

$$(\lambda_n^\pm - \lambda_n^0)^2 = \frac{-2}{\Delta''(\lambda_n^0, 0)}(Af(q), \Lambda(\lambda_n^0)) + o(\|q\|^2) = O(\|q\|^2), \quad (2.35)$$

since $\Delta''(\lambda_n^0, 0) \neq 0$. We will determine sharper asymptotics. The asymptotics (2.34) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(\lambda_n^\pm - \lambda_n^0)^2}{2} &= \frac{-(Af(q), \Lambda(\lambda_n^\pm))}{\Delta''(\tilde{\lambda}_n^\pm, 0)} + O(\|q\|^4) = \frac{-(Af(q), \Lambda(\lambda_n^0))}{\Delta''(\lambda_n^0, 0)} \\ &- (Af(q), \Lambda(\lambda_n^0)) \left(\frac{1}{\Delta''(\tilde{\lambda}_n^\pm, 0)} - \frac{1}{\Delta''(\lambda_n^0, 0)} \right) + \frac{-(Af(q), \Lambda(\lambda_n^\pm) - \Lambda(\lambda_n^0))}{\Delta''(\tilde{\lambda}_n^\pm, 0)} + O(\|q\|^4), \end{aligned} \quad (2.36)$$

and using (2.35), we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{\Delta''(\tilde{\lambda}_n^\pm, 0)} - \frac{1}{\Delta''(\lambda_n^0, 0)} \right| = O(\tilde{\lambda}_n^\pm - \lambda_n^0) = O(\lambda_n^\pm - \lambda_n^0) = O(\|q\|), \quad (2.37)$$

$$\|\Lambda(\lambda_n^\pm) - \Lambda(\lambda_n^0)\| = O(\lambda_n^\pm - \lambda_n^0) = O(\|q\|). \quad (2.38)$$

Substituting (2.37), (2.38) into (2.36) and using (2.24), (2.21) we get (1.8).

We will determine the asymptotics of h_n . Using (2.15), (2.16) and (2.24), (2.21) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\lambda_n^0, q) &= \Delta(\lambda_n^0, 0) + (Af(q), \Lambda(\lambda_n^0)) + O(\|q\|^4) = (-1)^n 2 + (AW(\hat{q}_1^2, \dots, \hat{q}_k^2)^T, \Lambda(\lambda_n^0)) + O(\|q\|^4) \\ &= (-1)^n 2 + \Lambda(\lambda_n^0)^T AW(\hat{q}_1^2, \dots, \hat{q}_k^2)^T + O(\|q\|^4) = (-1)^n \left(2 + \frac{2^{\delta_{k,n}} k}{4 \sin^2 \frac{\pi n}{2k}} \hat{q}_n^2 \right) + O(\|q\|^4), \end{aligned} \quad (2.39)$$

and, for small t , the Taylor formula implies

$$\Delta(\lambda_n^0 + t, q) = \Delta(\lambda_n^0, q) + \frac{t^2}{2} \Delta''(\tilde{\lambda}_n^0(t), 0) + tO(\|q\|^2) + O(\|q\|^4), \quad |\tilde{\lambda}_n^0(t) - \lambda_n^0| \leq |t|. \quad (2.40)$$

Let $t_0 = C\|q\|^2$. Then, for sufficiently large $C > 0$ and sufficiently small $\|q\|$, the identities $\text{sign } \Delta(\lambda_n^0, 0) = -\text{sign } \Delta''(\lambda_n^0, 0)$ and (2.40) yield

$$|\Delta(\lambda_n^0 \pm t_0, q)| < |\Delta(\lambda_n^0, q)|, \quad \Delta(\lambda_n^0 + \tau, q) = \Delta(\lambda_n^0, q) + O(\|q\|^4), \quad |\tau - \lambda_n^0| \leq t_0, \quad (2.41)$$

which gives

$$\Delta(\lambda_n, q) = \Delta(\lambda_n^0, q) + O(\|q\|^4) = (-1)^n \left(2 + \frac{2^{\delta_{k,n}} k}{4 \sin^2 \frac{\pi n}{2k}} \hat{q}_n^2 \right) + O(\|q\|^4). \quad (2.42)$$

Then, using (2.42), (1.4) and $\text{arccosh}^2(1+x) = 2x + O(x^2)$, we get (1.9). ■

3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section $q \in \mathcal{Q}^{odd}$. Introduce the matrix

$$V(a) = \begin{pmatrix} a_1^{2(k-1)} & a_1^{2(k-2)} & \dots & 1 \\ a_2^{2(k-1)} & a_2^{2(k-2)} & \dots & 1 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_N^{2(k-1)} & a_N^{2(k-2)} & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad a = (a_1, \dots, a_k)^T \in \mathbb{R}^k. \quad (3.1)$$

Define the mapping $H : \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ by $q \rightarrow H(q) = \{H_n(q)\}_1^k$, with the components

$$H_n = \Delta(\lambda_n, q) = 2(-1)^{N+1-n} \cosh h_n. \quad (3.2)$$

Lemma 3.1. *i) The mappings $H : \mathcal{Q}^{odd} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ and $\tilde{\lambda} = \{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^k : \mathcal{Q}^{odd} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ are real analytic and*

$$d_q H(q) = V(\tilde{\lambda}(q)) d_q \Phi(q). \quad (3.3)$$

ii) The mapping $h : \mathcal{Q}^{odd} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ is continuous and the mapping $h : \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}^{odd} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ is real analytic, where $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}^{odd} = \{q \in \mathcal{Q} : h_m(q) > 0, m = 1, \dots, k\}$.

Proof. i) The function $\Delta'(\lambda, q)$ is a polynomial of degree N in λ , whose coefficients are polynomials of q . Therefore, its roots $\lambda_n, n = 1, \dots, N$ are continuous functions of q . These roots are simple, thus they are real analytic on $\mathcal{Q}^{odd}$. Then the function $H_n(q) = \Delta(\lambda_n(q), q), q \in \mathcal{Q}^{odd}$ is real analytic, since $\Delta(\lambda, q)$ is a polynomial. The identities $\Delta'(\lambda_n(q), q) = 0$ and

$$\partial_m H_n = \partial_m \left(\Delta(\lambda_n(q), q) \right) = \lambda_n^{N-1} \partial_m \phi_1 + \lambda_n^{N-2} \partial_m \phi_2 + \dots + \partial_m \phi_N + \Delta'(\lambda_n(q), q) \partial_m \tilde{\lambda}_n(q)$$

yield (3.3).

ii) Note, that arccosh is a continuous function on the set $\{x \in \mathbb{R}, x \geq 1\}$ and it is real analytic on the set $\{x \in \mathbb{R}, x > 1\}$. Then, $h_n(q) = \text{arccosh}((-1)^{N+1-n} H_n(q)/2)$ is a continuous mapping on $\mathcal{Q}^{odd}$ and real analytic mapping on the open set $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}^{odd}$, since we have $(-1)^{N+1-n} H_n(q) \geq 2, q \in \mathcal{Q}^{odd}$ and $(-1)^{N+1-n} H_n(q) > 2, q \in \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}^{odd}$. ■ .

Introduce the set of indexes

$$\mathcal{N} = \{\nu = \{\nu_n\}_1^k : \nu_n \in \{-1, +1\}\}, \quad \#\mathcal{N} = 2^k. \quad (3.4)$$

For $(\nu, \varepsilon) \in \mathcal{N} \times \mathbb{R}_+$ define the sets

$$\mathcal{Z}_\varepsilon^\nu = \{q \in \mathcal{Q}^{odd} : \|q\| < 1, \nu_n \hat{q}_n > \varepsilon, n = 1, \dots, k\}, \quad (3.5)$$

$$\mathcal{Z}^\nu(\varepsilon, t) = \{q : q = \tau p, p \in \mathcal{Z}_\varepsilon^\nu, \tau \in (0, t)\}. \quad (3.6)$$

Note that $\mathcal{Z}_\varepsilon^\nu \neq \emptyset$ for $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{\sqrt{N+1}}$.

Lemma 3.2. *For any $t \in (0, t_0(\varepsilon))$ and $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{\sqrt{N+1}}$, the mapping $\Phi : \mathcal{Z}^\nu(\varepsilon, t) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ is an injection and a local isomorphism for some $t_0(\varepsilon)$, which depends only on ε .*

Proof. Let $\tilde{p}, \tilde{q} \in \mathcal{Z}^\nu(\varepsilon, t)$ and let $\tilde{p} \neq \tilde{q}$. Then $\tilde{q} = tq$, $q \in \mathcal{Z}_\varepsilon^\nu$ and $\tilde{p} = tq + t\delta$, $q + \delta \in \mathcal{Z}_\varepsilon^\nu$ and $0 < \|\delta\| < 1$. Using (2.14) and a polynomial $\Phi^2(q) = O(\|q\|^4)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(tq + t\delta) - \Phi(tq) &= tAW \text{diag}(2\hat{q}_n + \hat{\delta}_n)(t\hat{\delta}_1, \dots, t\hat{\delta}_k)^T + r(t)(t\hat{\delta}_1, \dots, t\hat{\delta}_k)^T \\ &= t^2(AW \text{diag}(2\hat{q}_n + \hat{\delta}_n) + O(t^2))(\hat{\delta}_1, \dots, \hat{\delta}_k)^T \neq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

since $|2\hat{q}_n + \hat{\delta}_n| > 2\varepsilon$ and $AW \text{diag}(2\hat{q}_n + \hat{\delta}_n)$ is invertible. Then for sufficiently small t we have $\Phi(\tilde{p}) \neq \Phi(\tilde{q})$. By Theorem 1.2, Φ is a local isomorphism. ■

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Suppose $h_n(q) = 0$, for some $q \in \mathcal{Q}^{odd}$ and some n . Then $|H_n(q)| = 2$. If $q \notin \mathcal{S} = \{q \in \mathcal{Q}^{odd} : \det d_q \Phi(q) = 0\}$, then H is a local isomorphism at the point q (see (3.3)). Thus there exists some point $p \in \mathcal{Q}^{odd}$ such that $|H_n(p)| < 2$, which contradicts $|H_n(p)| \geq 2$, $p \in \mathcal{Q}^{odd}$. We obtain $q \in \mathcal{S}$.

Using (3.2), (3.3), we have that h is a local isomorphism at any point $q \notin \mathcal{S}$, since $V(\tilde{\lambda}(q))$ is the Vandermonde matrix and $\lambda_n(q) \neq \lambda_m(q)$, $m \neq n$.

Let $q \in \mathcal{Q}^{odd}$, $\|q\| = 1$ and let $|\hat{q}_n| > \varepsilon$ for all $n = 1, \dots, k$. Let $0 < 2t < t_0(\frac{\varepsilon}{3})$, where the function $t_0(\cdot)$ is defined in Lemma 3.2. Let $\tilde{q} = tq$. Then the first statement of our theorem yields $\tilde{q} \notin \mathcal{S}$.

Consider the first case $\tilde{p} \notin \bigcup_{\nu} \mathcal{Z}^\nu(\frac{\varepsilon}{3}, 2t)$ and $\|\tilde{p}\| < 2t$. Then $\tilde{p} = 2tp$, $\|p\| < 1$, $|p_n| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ for some n , which gives $|\hat{q}_n^2 - 4\hat{p}_n^2| \geq \frac{5\varepsilon^2}{9}$. Thus for sufficiently small t the identity (2.14) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi(\tilde{q}) - \Phi(\tilde{p})\| &= \|\Phi(tq) - \Phi(2tp)\| = t^2 AW(\hat{q}_1^2 - 4\hat{p}_1^2, \dots, \hat{q}_k^2 - 4\hat{p}_k^2)^T + O(t^4) \\ &\geq Ct^2 |\hat{q}_n^2 - 4\hat{p}_n^2| \geq Ct^2 \frac{5\varepsilon^2}{9}, \quad C = \frac{\|W^{-1}A^{-1}\|}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Consider the second case $\tilde{p} \notin \bigcup_{\nu} \mathcal{Z}^\nu(\frac{\varepsilon}{3}, 2t)$ and $\tilde{p} = 2tp$, $\|p\| \geq 1$ for some $p \in \mathcal{Q}^{odd}$. Then, using $\phi_1(q) = \frac{\|q\|^2}{2} + N + 1$, we obtain

$$\|\Phi(\tilde{q}) - \Phi(\tilde{p})\| = \|\Phi(tq) - \Phi(2tp)\| \geq \frac{3}{2}t^2 \geq t^2. \quad (3.9)$$

The estimates (3.8), (3.9) and the fact that Φ is a local isomorphism in the sets $\mathcal{Z}^\nu(\frac{\varepsilon}{3}, 2t)$, $\nu \in \mathcal{N}$ (see Lemma 3.2) yield

$$\text{dist}(\Phi(\tilde{q}), \partial\Phi(\mathcal{Z}^\nu(\frac{\varepsilon}{3}, 2t))) = \text{dist}(\Phi(\tilde{q}), \Phi(\partial\mathcal{Z}^\nu(\frac{\varepsilon}{3}, 2t))) \geq t^2 \min\left(C\frac{5\varepsilon^2}{9}, 1\right), \quad (3.10)$$

for all $\nu \in \mathcal{N}$.

For any $\nu \in \mathcal{N}$ we take 2^k points $\tilde{q}^\nu = tq^\nu = t(\nu_1\hat{q}_1, \dots, \nu_k\hat{q}_k) \in \mathcal{Z}^\nu(\frac{\varepsilon}{3}, 2t)$. Note that $\tilde{q} = \tilde{q}^{\nu_0}$, $\nu_0 = (1, \dots, 1) \in \mathcal{N}$. Then (2.14) yields

$$\text{dist}(\Phi(\tilde{q}^\nu), \Phi(\tilde{q})) = \|\Phi(\tilde{q}^\nu) - \Phi(\tilde{q})\| = \|\Phi(tq^\nu) - \Phi(tq)\| = O(t^4), \quad \text{for all } \nu \in \mathcal{N}. \quad (3.11)$$

Using (3.10), (3.11) and the fact that Φ is a local isomorphism in the sets $\mathcal{Z}^\nu(\frac{\varepsilon}{3}, 2t)$, $\nu \in \mathcal{N}$, we have

$$\Phi(\tilde{q}) \in \Phi(\mathcal{Z}^\nu(\frac{\varepsilon}{3}, 2t)), \quad \text{for all } \nu \in \mathcal{N}, \quad (3.12)$$

since $\text{dist}(\Phi(\tilde{q}), \Phi(\tilde{q}^\nu)) < \text{dist}(\Phi(\tilde{q}), \partial\Phi(\mathcal{Z}^\nu(\frac{\varepsilon}{3}, 2t)))$ and $\Phi(\tilde{q}^\nu) \in \Phi(\mathcal{Z}^\nu(\frac{\varepsilon}{3}, 2t))$ for all $\nu \in \mathcal{N}$ and for sufficiently small t . Then there exist 2^k distinct points $v^\nu \in \mathcal{Z}^\nu(\frac{\varepsilon}{3}, 2t)$ such that $\Phi(\tilde{q}) = \Phi(v^\nu)$, $\nu \in \mathcal{N}$.

We show that there are no other points, i.e. we have exactly 2^k distinct points v^ν . Suppose $\Phi(\tilde{q}) = \Phi(u)$ for some $u \in \mathcal{Q}^{odd}$, $u \neq v^\nu$ for all $\nu \in \mathcal{N}$. Then $u \notin \bigcup_\nu \mathcal{Z}^\nu(\frac{\varepsilon}{3}, 2t)$, since by Lemma 3.2, Φ is an injection in the sets $\mathcal{Z}^\varepsilon(\frac{\varepsilon}{3}, 2t)$. Using $\phi_1(q) = \frac{\|q\|^2}{2} + N + 1$, we have $\|u\| = \|\tilde{q}\| = t < 2t$. Thus (3.8) holds for $\tilde{q}, u$, which contradicts $\Phi(\tilde{q}) = \Phi(u)$. ■

4 Appendix

In this Section we prove Lemmas 2.1-2.3, i.e., we determine some identities for the Lyapunov function $\Delta(\lambda, q)$ for the general case $q \in \mathcal{Q}$, not only $q \in \mathcal{Q}^{odd}$. The results of this Section are used also to study the case of q large in [KKu2]. Introduce the sets $T_j^n \subset \mathbb{N}^j$ by

$$T_j^n = \left\{ \alpha = \{\alpha_s\}_1^j : 1 \leq \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_j < n+1 \equiv \alpha_{j+1}, \alpha_{s+1} - \alpha_s \text{ is odd}, s = 1, \dots, j \right\}, \quad (4.1)$$

$1 \leq j \leq n$. We need the following simple properties of the sets T_j^n .

Lemma 4.1. *Let $E_j^{n+1} = \{\alpha = (\rho, n+2) : \rho \in T_{j-1}^{n+1}\}$. The following relations are fulfilled*

$$T_j^n \subset T_j^{n+2}, \quad \text{any } 1 \leq j \leq n, \quad (4.2)$$

$$T_j^{n+2} = T_j^n \cup E_j^{n+1}, \quad T_j^n \cap E_j^{n+1} = \emptyset, \quad \text{any } 2 \leq j \leq n. \quad (4.3)$$

Proof. In order to show (4.2) we note that if $n+1-\alpha_j$ is odd, then $n+3-\alpha_j$ is odd also. The definition (4.1) implies (4.2). We prove (4.3). The definition (4.1) provides $\{\alpha = (\rho, n+2) : \rho \in T_{j-1}^{n+1}\} \subset T_j^{n+2}$. (4.2) gives $T_j^n \subset T_j^{n+2}$, then we have $T_j^n \cup E_j^{n+1} \subset T_j^{n+2}$. We show the opposite inclusion. Suppose that

$$\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{j-1}), \quad (\alpha, \alpha_j) \in T_j^{n+2}. \quad (4.4)$$

If $\alpha_j = n+2$, then $n+2-\alpha_{j-1}$ is odd and we have $\alpha \in T_j^{n+1}$. Let $\alpha_j < n+2$. If $n+1-\alpha_j$ is odd, then $(\alpha, \alpha_j) \in T_j^n$. If $n+1-\alpha_j$ is even, then $n+3-\alpha_j$ is even and (4.4) is not fulfilled. The opposite inclusion is proved and we have the identity (4.3).

The sets T_j^n and E_j^{n+1} are disjoint, since by definition (4.1), the element $(\alpha, n+1) \notin T_j^n$. ■

Recall that for $q \in \mathcal{Q}$ and the multi-index α the polynomial $Q_\alpha(\lambda, q)$ is given by

$$Q_\alpha(\lambda, q) = (\lambda - q_{\alpha_1}) \cdot \dots \cdot (\lambda - q_{\alpha_j}), \quad \alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j) \in \mathbb{N}^j. \quad (4.5)$$

With each set T_j^n and $q \in \mathcal{Q}$ we associate the polynomial $F_j^n(\lambda, q)$ by

$$F_0^n \equiv 1, \quad F_j^n(\lambda, q) = \sum_{\alpha \in T_j^n} Q_\alpha(\lambda, q), \quad \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq n \leq N+1. \quad (4.6)$$

In order to prove Lemma 4.3 we need some properties of F_j^n .

Lemma 4.2. *For any $(\lambda, q) \in \mathbb{C}^{N+1}$ the following identities are fulfilled :*

$$F_{j+1}^{n+2}(\lambda, q) = (\lambda - q_{n+2})F_j^{n+1}(\lambda, q) + F_{j+1}^n(\lambda, q), \quad 1 \leq j \leq n-1, \quad (4.7)$$

$$F_{n+1}^{n+1}(\lambda, q) = (\lambda - q_{n+1})F_n^n(\lambda, q), \quad n \geq 1, \quad (4.8)$$

$$F_n^{n+1}(\lambda, q) = (\lambda - q_{n+1})F_{n-1}^n(\lambda, q), \quad n \geq 2. \quad (4.9)$$

Proof. Let $F_j^n = F_j^n(\lambda, q)$ and $Q_\alpha = Q_\alpha(\lambda, q)$. Using definition (4.6) and (4.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda - q_{n+2})F_j^{n+1} + F_{j+1}^n &= \sum_{\alpha \in T_j^{n+1}} (\lambda - q_{n+2})Q_\alpha + \sum_{(\alpha, \alpha_{j+1}) \in T_{j+1}^n} (\lambda - q_{\alpha_{j+1}})Q_\alpha \\ &= \sum_{(\alpha, \alpha_{j+1}) \in E_{j+1}^{n+1} \cup T_{j+1}^n} (\lambda - q_{\alpha_{j+1}})Q_\alpha = \sum_{\alpha \in T_{j+1}^{n+2}} Q_\alpha = F_{j+1}^{n+2}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies (4.7). Definition (4.6) gives $F_{n+1}^{n+1} = (\lambda - q_{n+1}) \cdot \dots \cdot (\lambda - q_1) = (\lambda - q_{n+1})F_n^n$ and $F_n^{n+1} = (\lambda - q_{n+1}) \cdot \dots \cdot (\lambda - q_2) = (\lambda - q_{n+1})F_{n-1}^n$, which yield (4.8) and (4.9). ■

We prove some identities for the polynomials φ_n and ϑ_n .

Lemma 4.3. *For any $n \geq 1$ the following identities are fulfilled:*

$$\varphi_{n+1} = (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{n}{2}} (-1)^j F_{2j}^n, \quad \vartheta_{n+1} = (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} (-1)^j F_{2j+1}^n, \quad n \text{ even}, \quad (4.10)$$

$$\varphi_{n+1} = (-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} (-1)^j F_{2j+1}^n, \quad \vartheta_{n+1} = (-1)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} (-1)^j F_{2j}^n, \quad n \text{ odd}. \quad (4.11)$$

Proof. We show (4.10) and (4.11) for φ_n by induction. The proof for ϑ_n is similar. Using (1.2) we have

$$\varphi_0 = 0; \quad \varphi_1 = 1; \quad \varphi_2(\lambda, q) = (\lambda - q_1); \quad \varphi_3(\lambda, q) = (\lambda - q_2)(\lambda - q_1) - 1;$$

$$\varphi_4(\lambda, q) = (\lambda - q_3)(\lambda - q_2)(\lambda - q_1) - (\lambda - q_3) - (\lambda - q_1).$$

By induction, suppose that identities (4.10) and (4.11) are fulfilled from 1 to $n \geq 2$. Let $\varphi_n = \varphi_n(\lambda, q)$, $F_j^n = F_j^n(\lambda, q)$. If n is odd, then from Lemma 4.2 we obtain

$$\varphi_{n+2} = (\lambda - q_{n+1})\varphi_{n+1} - \varphi_n = (\lambda - q_{n+1})(-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} (-1)^j F_{2j+1}^n - (-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} (-1)^j F_{2j}^{n-1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (\lambda - q_{n+1})F_n^n + (-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{n-1}{2}-1} (-1)^j (\lambda - q_{n+1})F_{2j+1}^n - (-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} - (-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} (-1)^j F_{2j}^{n-1} \\
&= F_{n+1}^{n+1} + (-1)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} F_0^{n+1} - (-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} (-1)^j ((\lambda - q_{n+1})F_{2j-1}^n + F_{2j}^{n-1}) \\
&= (-1)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} ((-1)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} F_{n+1}^{n+1} + (-1)^0 F_0^{n+1} + \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} (-1)^j F_{2j}^{n+1}) = (-1)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{n+1}{2}} (-1)^j F_{2j}^{n+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

If n is even, then Lemma 4.2 yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{n+2} &= (\lambda - q_{n+1})\varphi_{n+1} - \varphi_n = (\lambda - q_{n+1})(-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{n}{2}} (-1)^j F_{2j}^n - (-1)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} (-1)^j F_{2j+1}^{n-1} \\
&= (\lambda - q_{n+1})F_n^n + (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} (-1)^j ((\lambda - q_n)F_{2j}^n + F_{2j+1}^{n-1}) \\
&= F_{n+1}^{n+1} + (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} (-1)^j F_{2j+1}^{n+1} = (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{n}{2}} (-1)^j F_{2j+1}^{n+1},
\end{aligned}$$

which gives the identities (4.10) and (4.11) for any $n \geq 3$. ■

We need some properties of the sets D_j^n and the polynomials G_j^n given by (2.1) and (2.2).

Lemma 4.4. *For any $1 \leq j < n$ the following identities are fulfilled:*

$$D_n^n = T_n^n, \text{ for } n \geq 1; \quad D_j^n = T_j^n \cup T_j^{n-1}, \quad T_j^n \cap T_j^{n-1} = \emptyset, \quad (4.12)$$

$$G_n^n(\lambda, q) = F_n^n(\lambda, q), \text{ for } n \geq 1; \quad G_j^n(\lambda, q) = F_j^n(\lambda, q) + F_j^{n-1}(\lambda, q). \quad (4.13)$$

Proof. The definitions (2.1), (4.1) of D_j^n , T_j^n give the first identities in (4.12), (4.13) and $T_j^n \cup T_j^{n-1} \subset D_j^n$. We will prove the opposite inclusion. Suppose that $\alpha \in D_j^n$. We have two cases. Firstly, if $n+1-\alpha_j$ is odd, then by definition (4.1), $\alpha \in T_j^n$. Secondly, if $n+1-\alpha_j$ is even, then we have $n > \alpha_j$ and $n-\alpha_j$ is odd. The inclusion $\alpha \in T_j^{n-1}$ is fulfilled. Also we have showed that sets T_j^n and T_j^{n-1} are disjoint. ■

Proof of Lemma 2.1. Let $\Delta = \Delta(\lambda, q)$, $\varphi_n = \varphi_n(\lambda, q)$ If N is odd, then using Lemma 2.3-2.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta &= \varphi_{N+2} + \vartheta_{N+1} = (-1)^{\frac{N+1}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{N+1}{2}} (-1)^j F_{2j}^{N+1} + (-1)^{\frac{N+1}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{N-1}{2}} (-1)^j F_{2j}^N \\
&= (-1)^{\frac{N+1}{2}} (-1)^{\frac{N+1}{2}} F_{N+1}^{N+1} + (-1)^{\frac{N+1}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{N-1}{2}} (-1)^j (F_{2j}^{N+1} + F_{2j}^N) = (-1)^{\frac{N+1}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{N+1}{2}} G_{2j}^{N+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

If N is even, then similar arguments yield

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta &= \varphi_{N+2} + \vartheta_{N+1} = (-1)^{\frac{N}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{N}{2}} (-1)^j F_{2j+1}^{N+1}(\lambda, q) + (-1)^{\frac{N}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{N-2}{2}} (-1)^j F_{2j+1}^N(\lambda, q) \\ &= (-1)^{\frac{N}{2}} (-1)^{\frac{N}{2}} F_{N+1}^{N+1} + (-1)^{\frac{N}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{N-2}{2}} (-1)^j (F_{2j+1}^{N+1} + F_{2j+1}^N) = (-1)^{\frac{N}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{N}{2}} (-1)^j G_{2j+1}^{N+1}. \blacksquare\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.5. For any $(\lambda, q) \in \mathbb{C}^{N+1}$ the following identities are fulfilled

$$G_j^{N+1}(\lambda, M_\omega q) = G_j^{N+1}(\lambda, q), \quad N-j \text{ is odd}; \quad \Delta(\lambda, M_\omega q) \equiv \Delta(\lambda, q), \quad \omega \in \{\nu, \tau\}. \quad (4.14)$$

Proof. Define the functions $\nu, \tau, e : \{1, \dots, N+1\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, N+1\}$ by

$$\nu(N+1) = 1, \quad \nu(i) = i+1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq N, \quad \tau(i) = N+2-i, \quad e(i) = i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq N+1. \quad (4.15)$$

In order to prove $G_j^{N+1}(\lambda, M_\omega q) \equiv G_j^{N+1}(\lambda, q)$ we need to show $\omega D_j^{N+1} = D_j^{N+1}$, where $\omega D_j^{N+1} = \{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^j : \beta_i = \omega(\alpha_i), \alpha \in D_j^{N+1}, 1 \leq i \leq j\}$, since we have (2.2). Suppose $j = 2m$ and $N+1 = 2k$ are even. The proof in the odd case is similar. Definitions (2.1), (4.15) yield $\omega D_{2m}^{2k} \subset D_{2m}^{2k}$, $\omega \in \{\nu, \tau\}$. Also, using $\omega^2 = e$, $\omega \in \{\nu, \tau\}$, we have $D_{2m}^{2k} = \omega^2 D_{2m}^{2k} \subset \omega D_{2m}^{2k}$ and then $\omega D_{2m}^{2k} = D_{2m}^{2k}$. Using (2.3), we obtain $\Delta(\lambda, M_\omega q) \equiv \Delta(\lambda, q)$. $\blacksquare$

Proof of Lemma 2.2. For the functions $\varphi_m^0(\lambda) = \varphi_m(\lambda, 0)$ and $\vartheta_m^0(\lambda) = \vartheta_m(\lambda, 0)$ equation (1.2) yields

$$\varphi_0^0 = 0, \quad \varphi_1^0 = 1, \quad \varphi_{n+1}^0 = \lambda \varphi_n^0 - \varphi_{n-1}^0, \quad \vartheta_0^0 = 1, \quad \vartheta_1^0 = 0, \quad \vartheta_{n+1}^0 = \lambda \vartheta_n^0 - \vartheta_{n-1}^0. \quad (4.16)$$

Using $\Delta_{n+1}^0 \equiv \varphi_{n+2}^0 + \vartheta_{n+1}^0$, we have

$$\Delta_0^0 = 2, \quad \Delta_1^0 = \lambda, \quad \Delta_2^0 = \lambda^2 - 2, \quad \Delta_{n+1}^0 = \lambda \Delta_n^0 - \Delta_{n-1}^0.$$

Using similar recurrence formulas for the Chebyshev polynomials $T_n(\lambda) = \cos(n \arccos(\lambda))$

$$T_0(\lambda) = 1, \quad T_1(\lambda) = \lambda, \quad T_{n+1}(\lambda) = 2\lambda T_n(\lambda) - T_{n-1}(\lambda) \quad (4.17)$$

(see [AS]) we obtain $\Delta_{n+1}(\lambda, 0) = 2T_{n+1}(\frac{\lambda}{2})$. Thus we have (2.8) and (2.9), see [AS]. $\blacksquare$

Proof of Lemma 2.3. i) Firstly, $f_s \in \mathcal{P}_2$, since $(M_\nu q, M_\nu q) = (q, q)$ and $f_s(M_\nu q) = (M_\nu q, M_\nu^{s+1} q) = (q, M_\nu^s q) = f_s(q)$. Secondly, let $f \in \mathcal{P}_2$. Then $f(q) = \sum_{1 \leq l, m \leq 2k} c(l, m) q_l q_m$, for some $c(l, m) = c(m, l) \in \mathbb{R}$. Define $q_{l+2kj} = q_l$, $c(l+2kj, m+2ks) = c(l, m)$, $1 \leq l, m \leq 2k$, $s, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then using the identity $M_\nu^j q = (q_{j+1}, \dots, q_{2k+j})$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ we obtain

$$f(M_\nu^j q) = \sum_{1 \leq l, m \leq 2k} c(l, m) q_{l+j} q_{m+j}, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (4.18)$$

This and $f(\cdot) \equiv f(M_\nu^j \cdot)$ yield $c(l, m) = c(l + j, m + j)$. Then we have

$$c(1, m) = c(j + 1, m + j), \quad 1 \leq m, j \leq 2k, \quad (4.19)$$

which gives

$$f(q) = \sum_{m=1}^{2k} c(1, m) \sum_{j=1}^{2k} q_j q_{m+j} = \sum_{m=1}^{2k} c(1, m) (q, M_\nu^m q). \quad (4.20)$$

Furthermore, $(q, M_\nu^{k+j} q) = (M_\nu^{k-j} q, M_\nu^{2k} q) = (M_\nu^{k-j} q, q) = f_{k-j}(q)$. Using this and (4.20) and linear independence of f_s , $s = 0, \dots, k$ is a basis in the space $\mathcal{P}_2$.

ii) The identities (2.2), (2.3), (2.9) and $G_{2m}^{2k}(-\lambda, \cdot) = G_{2m}^{2k}(\lambda, \cdot)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ give (2.10). Moreover $G_{2m}^{2k}(\cdot, M_\nu q) \equiv G_{2m}^{2k}(\cdot, q)$, $q \in \mathcal{Q}^{odd}$ give $g_m(M_\nu q) = g_m(q)$, $q \in \mathcal{Q}^{odd}$, which yields $g_m \in \mathcal{P}_2$. The proof of (2.11) needs Lemma 4.6 and will be given below. ■

In order to show (2.11) we prove Lemma 4.6. For $0 \leq r < n - m$ introduce the set

$$S_r^{m,n} = \{\alpha = \{\alpha_s\}_{s=0}^{r+1} \in \mathbb{Z}^{r+2} : m = \alpha_0 < \dots < \alpha_{r+1} = n, \alpha_{s+1} - \alpha_s \text{ is odd}, 0 \leq s \leq r\}. \quad (4.21)$$

We see that $\#S_r^{m,n}$ depends only on r and $n - m$, that is $\#S_r^{m+l, n+l} = \#S_r^{m,n}$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. Also we see that if $n - m - 1 - r$ is odd, then $S_r^{m,n} = \emptyset$, since we have the identity

$$n - m = \sum_{i=0}^r \beta_i, \quad \beta_i = \alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_i \text{ is odd}.$$

In order to prove (2.11) we need

Lemma 4.6. *Let $n - m - r$ be odd for some $0 \leq r < n - m$. Then the following identities hold*

$$\#S_0^{m,n} = 1; \quad \#S_r^{m,n} = \#T_r^{n-m-1}, \quad r \geq 1. \quad (4.22)$$

Proof. The set $S_0^{m,n}$ consists of one element $(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. Suppose $r \geq 1$. Using the identity $\#S_r^{m+l, n+l} = \#S_r^{m,n}$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, we need to show $\#S_r^{0, n-m} = \#T_r^{n-m-1}$. Introduce the set

$$\tilde{S}_r^{0, n-m} = \{(0, \alpha, n - m) \in \mathbb{Z}^{r+2} : \alpha \in T_r^{n-m-1}\}. \quad (4.23)$$

The definition of T and S (see (4.1), (4.21)) give $S_r^{0, n-m} \subset \tilde{S}_r^{0, n-m}$. In order to prove $\tilde{S}_r^{0, n-m} \subset S_r^{0, n-m}$ we need to show that $\alpha_1 - 0$ is odd for $\alpha \in T_r^{n-m-1}$. From the condition $n - m - r$ is odd and the identity

$$n - m = \alpha_1 - 0 + \sum_{i=1}^r \beta_i, \quad \beta_i = \alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_i \text{ is odd} \quad (4.24)$$

we obtain $\alpha_1 - 0$ is odd for $\alpha \in T_r^{n-m-1}$. Thus we have $S_r^{0, n-m} = \tilde{S}_r^{0, n-m}$ and then $\#S_r^{0, n-m} = \#\tilde{S}_r^{0, n-m} = \#T_r^{n-m-1}$. ■

Proof of (2.11). We fix m . Introduce the sets (D_j^k is defined by (2.1))

$$P_j^{2m} = \{\alpha = \{\alpha_s\}_1^{2m} \in D_{2m}^{2k} : \alpha_1 = 1, \alpha_s = j + 1 \text{ for some } s\}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq k. \quad (4.25)$$

Recall that $\delta_{k,j}$ is the Kroneker symbol. Using $g_m \in \mathcal{P}_2$ and Lemma 2.3,i) and (2.2), (2.10) we obtain

$$g_m(q) = a_{m,1}f_1(q) + \dots + a_{m,k}f_k(q), \quad a_{k+1-m,j} = 2^{-\delta_{k,j}} \#P_j^{2m}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n. \quad (4.26)$$

The following decompositions are fulfilled

$$P_j^{2m} = \bigcup_{i=2}^{j+1} P_{i,j}^{2m}, \quad j < 2m; \quad P_j^{2m} = \bigcup_{i=2}^{2m} P_{i,j}^{2m}, \quad 2m \leq j, \quad (4.27)$$

where $P_{i,j}^{2m} = \{\alpha \in D_{2m}^{2k} : \alpha_1 = 1, \alpha_i = j+1\}$. We see that the set P_j^{2m} is a union of disjoint sets. The following identity

$$\#P_{i,j}^{2m} = (\#S_{i-2}^{1,j+1}) \cdot (\#S_{2m-i}^{j+1,2k+1}) \quad (4.28)$$

and (4.22) gives

$$\#P_{i,j}^{2m} = 0, \quad j-i \text{ is even}, \quad \#P_{i,j}^{2m} = \#T_{i-2}^{j-1} \cdot \#T_{2m-i}^{2n-j-1}, \quad j-i \text{ is odd}. \quad (4.29)$$

Thus (4.27), (4.29) yield

$$\begin{aligned} \#P_j^{2m} &= \#T_{j-1}^{j-1} \cdot \#T_{2m-2-(j-1)}^{2k-2-(j-1)} + \#T_{j-3}^{j-1} \cdot \#T_{2m-2-(j-3)}^{2k-2-(j-1)} + \dots, \quad j < 2m, \\ \#P_j^{2m} &= \#T_{2m-2}^{j-1} \cdot \#T_0^{2k-2-(j-1)} + \#T_{(2m-2)-2}^{j-1} \cdot \#T_2^{2k-2-(j-1)} + \dots, \quad 2m \leq j, \quad j \text{ is odd}, \\ \#P_j^{2m} &= \#T_{(2m-2)-1}^{j-1} \cdot \#T_1^{2k-2-(j-1)} + \#T_{(2m-2)-3}^{j-1} \cdot \#T_3^{2k-2-(j-1)} + \dots, \quad 2m \leq j, \quad j \text{ is even}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

Using (4.6), (4.10), (4.11), we have

$$\varphi_{n+1}^0(\lambda) = \varphi_{n+1}(\lambda, 0) = \lambda^n \#T_n^n - \lambda^{n-2} \#T_{n-2}^n + \dots \quad (4.31)$$

Then (2.7), (4.31) and (4.30) yield

$$\begin{aligned} A_{1,j} &= 2^{-\delta_{k,j}} \#T_{j-1}^{j-1} \cdot \#T_{2k-2-(j-1)}^{2k-2-(j-1)} = 2^{-\delta_{k,j}} \#P_j^{2k} = a_{1,j}, \\ -A_{2,j} &= 2^{-\delta_{k,j}} \left(\#T_{j-1}^{j-1} \cdot \#T_{2k-2-(j-1)-2}^{2k-2-(j-1)} + \#T_{j-1-2}^{j-1} \cdot \#T_{2k-2-(j-1)}^{2k-2-(j-1)} \right) = 2^{-\delta_{k,j}} \#P_j^{2k-2} = a_{2,j} \end{aligned}$$

and so on. ■

Acknowledgements. The first author E.Korotyaev was partly supported by DFG project BR691/23-1. The authors would like also to thank Markus Klein for useful discussions.

References

- [AS] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, Handbook of mathematical functions with formulas, graphs, and mathematical tables, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1964.
[BGGK] Bättig, D.; Grebert, B.; Guillot, J.-C.; Kappeler, T. Fibration of the phase space of the periodic Toda lattice. J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 72 (1993), no. 6, 553–565.

- [GT] Garnett J., Trubowitz E.: Gaps and bands of one dimensional periodic Schrödinger operators. *Comment. Math. Helv.* 59, 258-312 (1984)
- [GKT] Gieseke, D.; Knörrer, H.; Trubowitz, E. The geometry of algebraic Fermi curves. *Perspectives in Mathematics*, 14. Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1993.
- [KK] Kargaev P., Korotyaev E.: Inverse Problem for the Hill Operator, the Direct Approach. *Invent. Math.*, 129(1997), no. 3, 567-593
- [K1] Korotyaev, E.: The inverse problem for the Hill operator. I. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* 3(1997), 113–125.
- [K2] Korotyaev, E.: The inverse problem and trace formula for the Hill operator, II. *Math. Z.* 231(1999), 345-368
- [K3] Korotyaev, E.: Characterization of the spectrum of Schrödinger operators with periodic distributions, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 37(2003), 2019-2031
- [K4] Korotyaev, E.: Gap-length mapping for periodic Jacobi matrices, preprint 2004
- [KKr] Korotyaev, E., Krasovsky, I.: Spectral estimates for periodic Jacobi matrices. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 234 (2003), no. 3, 517–532.
- [KKu1] Korotyaev, E., Kutsenko, A.: Inverse problem for the periodic Jacoby matrices, preprint 2004
- [KKu2] Korotyaev, E., Kutsenko, A.: Inverse problem for the discrete 1D Schrödinger operator with large periodic potential, preprint 2004
- [L] Last, Y. On the measure of gaps and spectra for discrete 1D Schrodinger operators. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 149 (1992), no. 2, 347–360.
- [M] Marchenko V.: *Sturm-Liouville operator and applications*. Basel: Birkhauser 1986.
- [MO1] V. Marchenko, I. Ostrovski: A characterization of the spectrum of the Hill operator. *Math. USSR Sbornik* 26, 493-554 (1975).
- [MO2] V. Marchenko, I. Ostrovski : Approximation of periodic by finite-zone potentials. *Selecta Math. Sovietica*. 1987, 6, No 2, 101-136.
- [Pe] Perkolab, L.: An inverse problem for a periodic Jacobi matrix. (Russian) *Teor. Funktsii Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* 42(1984), 107-121
- [Te] Teschl, G.: *Jacobi operators and completely integrable nonlinear lattices*. *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, 72. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000
- [vM] van Moerbeke, P.: The spectrum of Jacobi matrices. *Invent. Math.* 37 (1976), no. 1, 45–81
- [vMou] van Mouche, P. Spectral asymptotics of periodic discrete Schrodinger operators. I. *Asymptotic Anal.* 11 (1995), no. 3, 263–287